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Innate immunity to virus infection

Summary: The innate immune system is essential for the initial detection of invading viruses and subsequent activation of adaptive immunity. Three classes of receptors, designated retinoic acid-inducible gene I (RIG-I)-like receptors (RLRs), Toll-like receptors (TLRs), and nucleotide oligomerization domain (NOD)-like receptors (NLRs), sense viral components, such as double-stranded RNA (dsRNA), single-stranded RNA, and DNA. RLRs and TLRs play essential roles in the production of type I interferons (IFNs) and proinflammatory cytokines in cell type-specific manners. While the RLRs play essential roles in the recognition of RNA viruses in various cells, plasmacytoid dendritic cells utilize TLRs for detecting virus invasion. NLRs play a role in the production of mature interleukin-1β to dsRNA stimulation. Activation of innate immune cells is critical for mounting adaptive immune responses. In this review, we discuss recent advances in our understanding of the mechanisms of viral RNA recognition by these different types of receptors and its relation to acquired immune responses.
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Introduction

Host cells recognize the invasion of viruses and mount strong antiviral responses. Viruses initially activate the innate immune system, which recognizes viral components through pattern-recognition receptors (PRRs) (1–3). Acquired immunity plays a major role in the responses to re-infection with viruses. Host PRRs detect viral components, such as genomic DNA, single-stranded RNA (ssRNA), double-stranded RNA (dsRNA), RNA with 5′-triphosphate ends and viral proteins. Currently, three classes of PRRs have been shown to be involved in the recognition of virus-specific components in innate immune cells, namely Toll-like receptors (TLRs), retinoic acid-inducible gene I (RIG-I)-like receptors (RLRs), and nucleotide oligomerization domain (NOD)-like receptors (NLRs). Among these receptor types, TLRs and RLRs are important for the production of type I interferons (IFNs) and various cytokines, whereas NLRs are known to regulate interleukin-1β (IL-1β) maturation through activation of caspase-1 (4, 5).

Detection of viral components by RLRs and TLRs in immune cells activates intracellular signaling cascades, leading to the...
secretion of type I IFNs, proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines, and increased expression of costimulatory molecules such as CD40, CD80, and CD86. Type I IFNs activate intracellular signaling pathways via a type I IFN receptor and regulate the expression of a set of genes. The IFN-inducible genes, such as protein kinase R and 2′5′-oligoadenylate synthase, are involved in eliminating viral components from infected cells, inducing apoptosis of infected cells and conferring resistance to viral infection on uninfected cells. Type I IFNs are produced not only by professional innate immune cells, including dendritic cells (DCs) and macrophages, but also by non-professional cells, such as fibroblasts. Proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines are also critical for eliminating virus infection by provoking inflammation and recruiting innate and acquired immune cells. Costimulatory molecules are essential for the activation of T cells, leading to acquired immune reactions.

In this review, we focus on the roles of these PRRs in the recognition of viruses and initiation of antiviral immune responses, as well as their mechanisms for recognizing viral components.

The RLR family

RLRs comprise a family of cytoplasmic proteins consisting of three members, RIG-I (also known as DDX58), melanoma differentiation-associated gene 5 (MDA5) (also known as helicard or IFIH1), and laboratory of genetics and physiology-2 (LGP2) (6–10). RIG-I and MDA5 consist of two N-terminal caspase-recruitment domains (CARDs), a DExD/H box RNA helicase domain, and a C-terminal repressor domain (RD), whereas LGP2 lacks a CARD. The helicase domains of the RLR family members are highly similar to that of mammalian Dicer, a dsRNA-specific nuclease required for micro RNA (miRNA) and small interfering RNA (siRNA) biogenesis. Although a report showed that presence of the helicase domain suppressed Dicer RNase activity, the role of the Dicer helicase domain in its function in miRNA maturation is not well understood (11).

The RLRs recognize viral RNAs in the cytoplasm. RNA virus infection leads to the generation of dsRNA and RNAs with 5′-triphosphate ends in infected cells. Long dsRNA is not normally present in cells, and the 5′ ends of host RNAs are typically capped. The helicase domain and RD are important for the recognition of these RNAs, while the CARDs are essential for triggering intracellular signaling cascades (6, 12). LGP2 lacks a CARD and is suggested to function as a negative regulator of RIG-I/MDA5 signaling. Overexpression of LGP2 inhibits Sendai virus (SeV) and Newcastle disease virus signaling. Lgp2−/− mice show highly elevated induction of type I IFNs in response to polyinosinic polycytidylic acid (poly I:C) stimulation and modestly increased IFN production in response to vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) infection (9, 10). On the other hand, Lgp2−/− mice show partially impaired type I IFN production in response to encephalomyocarditis virus (EMCV) infection (13). In this study, it was shown that LGP2 was a negative regulator of RIG-I but not of MDA5. However, given that both polyI:C and EMCV are recognized by MDA5, the difference cannot be fully explained by differential usage of LGP2 for RIG-I and MDA5 signaling.

Recognition of RNA viruses by RLRs

Studies using RLR-deficient mice have revealed that these proteins are essential for the production of type I IFNs and proinflammatory cytokines in response to RNA virus infection. Cells lacking RIG-I do not produce type I IFNs in response to various RNA viruses, including paramyxoviruses, VSV, and influenza virus (14–16) (Fig. 1). By contrast, MDA5-deficient mice do not respond to infection with picornaviruses, such as encephalomyocarditis virus (EMCV) and Theiler’s virus (15, 17). Consistent with the defect in type I IFN production, RIG-I−/− and MDA5−/− mice are highly susceptible to inoculation with VSV and EMCV, respectively. Japanese encephalitis virus and hepatitis C virus (HCV), which belong to the Flaviviridae family, are both recognized by RIG-I (15, 18). Huh7 cells harboring a RIG-I mutant were found to be permissive to HCV infection (18). GB virus, a mouse model of human HCV, is also recognized by RIG-I (19). However, Dengue virus and West Nile virus, which also belong to the Flaviviridae family, were shown to induce type I IFN production, even in the absence of RIG-I or MDA5 (20, 21). siRNA experiments suggested that Dengue virus is recognized by the combination of RIG-I and MDA5. Vaccine strains of measles virus were found to activate cells in a RIG-I/MDA5-dependent manner, whereas wildtype measles virus failed to induce type I IFN production (22). However, poliovirus is expected to be recognized by MDA5 because it is a member of the Picornaviridae family. Interestingly, MDA5 was reported to be cleaved by a poliovirus protease in infected cells. Thus, poliovirus may subvert the MDA5-mediated recognition system to establish its infection (23). Infection with dsRNA virus, reovirus, induced IFN-β production mainly through MDA5; however, absence of both RIG-I and MDA5 completely abrogated IFN production, suggesting that both RIG-I and MDA5 are involved in the recognition of reovirus (24). It was reported
that a DNA virus, Epstein–Barr virus (EBV), produced small RNAs that induced RIG-I-mediated IFN-β production. However, as the study utilized artificial RNA synthesized by T7 polymerase, which can activate RIG-I irrespective of the sequence, it is not clear if small RNA encoded by EBV truly activate RIG-I in the cells (25, 26).

RNA viruses are recognized by either RIG-I, MDA5, or combination of RIG-I and MDA5 for inducing type I IFNs in various cells. Given that type I IFN production to various RNA viruses was totally abrogated in cells lacking RIG-I and MDA5, it is assumed that there is no other receptor recognizing RNA viruses to induce type I IFNs present.

**Recognition of RNAs by RIG-I and MDA5**

dsRNA is present in cells infected with dsRNA viruses as well as being generated during the course of ssRNA virus replication. As host cells do not produce dsRNA, the innate immune system discriminates between host and viral RNAs by the presence of dsRNA. Initially, both RIG-I and MDA5 were implicated in the recognition of polyI:C, a synthetic analogue of viral dsRNA (6, 9, 10). However, analyses of RIG-I−/− and MDA5−/− mice revealed that MDA5, but not RIG-I, is responsible for the IFN response to polyI:C stimulation (15). Reciprocally, RIG-I, but not MDA5, is essential for IFN production in response to ssRNA with 5’-triphosphate ends (27, 28). RNAs from some viruses are known to be 5’-triposphorylated and uncapped, whereas the 5’ ends of host mRNAs are capped. A previous report showed that 5’-triphosphate ssRNAs of >19 nt in length efficiently induced IFN-α production in a RIG-I-dependent fashion and that the RNA sequence did not affect the ability of RNAs to induce IFNs (27). However, a recent report showed that a polyU-containing RNA sequence corresponding to the 3’ non-translated region of HCV genomic RNA preferentially activated RIG-I compared to other sequences of HCV (29). Given the RNAs in the study was synthesized by the T7 polymerase, it is not clear if the phenomenon recapitulates HCV infection in vivo. Further studies will clarify the roles of RNA sequences in RIG-I-mediated responses to 5’-triphosphate RNAs.

The next issues are whether RIG-I recognizes dsRNAs without 5’-triphosphate ends and the identification of the molecular structures of MDA5 ligands. PolyI:C is an artificial dsRNA generated by annealing between polyI and polyC. PolyI and polyC are synthesized by polynucleotide phosphorylase, which catalyzes the polymerization of nucleotide diphos-
treated RIG-I C with a dsRNA-specific endonuclease, RNase III, led to the generation of 4–8-kbp dsDNA fragments (24). Partial digestion of polyI:C with untreated and shortened polyI:C, we found that polyI:C was converted from a MDA5 ligand into a RIG-I ligand in a dsRNA length-dependent manner. In addition, chemically synthesized monophosphate end dsRNA of 70 bp induced production of type I IFNs in a RIG-I-dependent manner. Notably, complete digestion of polyI:C produced 10–20-bp dsRNAs that failed to stimulate even wildtype cells, consistent with a previous observation. In addition, long and short polyI:Cs preferentially bind to MDA5 and RIG-I proteins, respectively, leading to the activation of adenosine triphosphate (ATPase) activity. It seems that dsRNAs of up to 1 kb are completely recognized by RIG-I but not by MDA5. On the other hand, dsRNAs of >2 kb can be recognized by MDA5. These observations indicate that RIG-I and MDA5 proteins directly discriminate the lengths of dsRNA.

Not only synthesized dsRNAs but also viral dsRNAs differentially activate RIG-I and MDA5 depending on their length (24). The genome of reovirus, a dsRNA virus, consists of 10 segments in three distinct classes called L, M, and S, corresponding to their sizes of 3.9, 2.2–2.3, and 1.2–1.4 kbp, respectively. While the introduction of S segments into cells induced IFN-β in a RIG-I-dependent manner, both RIG-I and MDA5 contributed to the recognition of L segments.

EMCV produces high levels of dsRNA in infected cells, whereas dsRNA was barely detected in influenza virus-infected cells (28, 31). Genomic RNA from influenza virus harbors a 5´-triphosphate end, whereas the 5´ end of EMCV genomic RNA is covalently linked to a small protein, VPg (32). Thus, it was thought that the presence of dsRNA or 5´-triphosphate RNA was responsible for the recognition of viruses by MDA5 or RIG-I. However, VSV, a ssRNA virus recognized by RIG-I, was found to produce dsRNA in infected cells (24). Disruption of dsRNA among RNAs from VSV-infected cells reduced IFN-β-inducing activity, suggesting that the presence of dsRNA in VSV-infected cells is important for recognition by RIG-I. Interestingly, the dsRNA fragments produced by VSV infection were about 2.0–2.5 kbp and much shorter than those produced by EMCV infection. Given that the length of the VSV genomic RNA is 11 kb, the dsRNA fragments were not replication intermediates of VSV. It has been reported that defective interfering (DI) particles are generated in VSV-infected cells, and that the sizes of DI particle snap-back dsRNAs are about 2.2 kb (33). Thus, dsRNA generated during the course of VSV replication may be derived from DI particles, although further studies are needed to clarify the source of the dsRNA. As DI particles are known to strongly induce type I IFNs, RIG-I may have a role in detecting the presence of dsRNA in DI particles. Collectively, these findings demonstrate that RIG-I recognizes 5´-triphosphate RNA and dsRNA during the course of RNA virus infection and that the length of the dsRNA generated during the course of the infection is important for differential recognition by RIG-I and MDA5.

Both the helicase domain and RD of RLRs potentially associate with viral RNA. Structural analyses of the RIG-I RD by X-ray crystallography and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) revealed that 5´-triphosphate ssRNA and dsRNA directly bind to the basic surface of the RIG-I RD (34, 35). Interestingly, the RIG-I RD resembles a zinc-binding domain that is structurally related to the GDP/GTP exchange factors of Rab-like GTPases. Although the RIG-I helicase domain has an activity to unwind dsRNA with 3´ overhands, in vitro studies suggest that the helicase activity of RIG-I is not correlated with its function to induce type I IFNs (35). By contrast, a point mutation in Walker’s ATP-binding motif in the RIG-I helicase domain abolished the IFN-β-inducing ability. Therefore, it is assumed that the RIG-I helicase domain is required not for actually unwinding dsRNAs but for changing the conformation of RIG-I to facilitate signaling through the CARDs.

**Modulation of RIG-I-mediated recognition**

RIG-I-mediated signaling is positively and negatively controlled by ubiquitination of RIG-I. First, the CARDs of RIG-I undergo Lys63-linked ubiquitination by tripartite motif 25 (TRIM25), a ubiquitin E3 ligase composed of a RING finger domain, a B box/coiled-coil domain, and a SPRY domain (36). This ubiquitination is necessary for efficient activation of the RIG-I signaling pathway, and TRIM25−/− cells display impaired production of type I IFNs in response to viral infection. RIG-I also undergoes ubiquitination by the ubiquitin ligase RNF125, which leads to its proteasomal degradation (37). Thus, RIG-I ubiquitination by RNF125 is considered to inhibit aberrant activation of RIG-I signaling.

RNase L, an endonuclease originally thought to cleave viral ssRNA, was reported to be involved in the production of IFN-β in response to RNA virus infection or dsRNA stimulation (38). Furthermore, 2´5´-linked oligoadenylate generated by virus infection was found to activate RNase L for cleavage
of self-RNA, resulting in the generation of small RNA products that are responsible for RIG-I/MDA5-mediated recognition and subsequent production of type I IFNs. However, the precise structures of these small RNAs generated by RNase L require further investigation.

The RLR signaling pathway

In response to detection of viral RNAs, RIG-I, and MDA5 associate with an adapter protein designated IFN-β promoter stimulator-1 (IPS-1), also known as mitochondrial antiviral signaling (MAVS), virus-induced signaling adapter (VISA), or CARD adapter inducing IFN-β (CARDIF) (39–42) (Fig. 2). IPS-1 contains a CARD in its N-terminus, and crystal structure analyses revealed that this CARD adopts the classic CARD fold with an asymmetric surface charge distribution and shares homology with the first CARDs of RIG-I and MDA5 for homotypic CARD–CARD interaction (43). IPS-1−/− mouse embryonic fibroblast cells (MEFs) and conventional DCs are defective in producing type I IFNs and proinflammatory cytokines in response to all RNA viruses recognized by RIG-I or MDA5, and IPS-1−/− mice were susceptible to infection with various RNA viruses (44, 45). These findings indicate that IPS-1 plays essential roles in RIG-I/MDA5 signaling. This protein is present in the outer mitochondrial membrane, suggesting that mitochondria may be important for IFN responses, in addition to their roles in metabolism and cell death. IPS-1 is known to be cleaved by the HCV protease NS3/4A in HCV-infected cells (41, 46). NLRX1 (also known as NOD9) was reported to associate with IPS-1 (47). NLRX1 is comprised of a nucleotide-binding domain and leucine-rich repeats (LRRs) and is localized on the mitochondrial outer membrane. Overexpression of NLRX1 inhibits virus-induced IFN-β promoter activation by disrupting RIG-I/MDA5–IPS-1 interactions. Reciprocally, knockdown of NLRX1 leads to augmentation of virus-induced type I IFN production. Thus, NLRX1 is suggested to function as a modulator of IPS-1.

The RLR and tumor necrosis factor receptor I (TNFRI) signaling pathways share molecules for activating signal transduction. TNFR-associated death domain (TRADD) protein, an essential adapter for TNFR signaling, is recruited...
to IPS-1 upon stimulation and is also important for RLR signaling (48). TRADD forms a complex with Fas-associated death domain-containing protein (FADD) and a death domain kinase, receptor-interacting protein 1 (RIP1), in addition to TNF-receptor associated factor 3 (TRAF3), an E3 ubiquitin ligase that assembles a Lys63-linked polyubiquitin chain. TRAF3 is essential for RLR-mediated type I IFN responses, and its function is regulated by the deubiquitinase DUBA (49–51).

Downstream of TRAF3, two IκB kinases (IKK)-related kinases, TBK1 [TRAF family member-associated NF-κB activator (TANK)-binding kinase 1] and inducible IκB kinase (IKK-i) (also known as IKKε), which phosphorylate IFN-regulatory factor-3 (IRF-3) and IRF-7, are activated (52–54). Phosphorylation of IRF-3/-7 by these kinases induces the formation of homodimers and/or heterodimers. Next, the IRF-3/-7 homodimers and/or heterodimers translocate into the nucleus and bind to IFN-sensitive response elements (ISREs), resulting in the expression of type I IFNs and a set of IFN-inducible genes (55, 56). Cells lacking both IRF-3 and IRF-7 did not produce type I IFNs in response to viral infection.

TBK1 and IKK-i interact with TANK, NAK-associated protein 1 (NAP1), and similar to NAP1 TBK1 adapter (SINTBAD) (57–59). These molecules contain a TBK1-binding motif and show similarities among their coiled-coil domains. Although knockdown of either TANK, NAP1, or SINTBAD impairs RIG-I-like helicase (RLH) signaling, the relationship between these molecules in RLH signaling is not yet fully understood.

The RLR signaling pathway activates another transcription factor, NF-κB, for the expression of proinflammatory genes. IPS-1, TRADD, and FADD are important for activating both IRFs and NF-κB (60). FADD interacts with caspase-8/10, and the catalytic activities of these caspases are critical for the subsequent nuclear translocation of NF-κB.

A recent study identified a novel protein named stimulator of IFN genes (STING) as an important molecule for RIG-I/MDA5 signaling (61). Overexpression of STING activated NF-κB and ISRE via TBK1/IKK-i. STING−/− mice showed impaired production of IFN-β to VSV infection. Interestingly, STING is a protein with five transmembrane regions localizing in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membrane and interacts with SSR2/TRAPβ, a member of translocon-associated protein (TRAP) complex. This protein complex is required for protein translocation across the ER membrane. Given that, IPS-1 localizes on mitochondrial membrane, how the RIG-1 signaling transduces through the different organelles is an interesting topic for future studies.

Recognition of viral components by the TLR system

In addition to the RLRs, TLRs are important for recognizing viral infection. TLRs are comprised of LRRs, a transmembrane domain, and a cytoplasmic domain designated the Toll/IL-1 receptor (IL-1R) homology (TIR) domain (1). TLRs are transmembrane proteins suitable for detecting viral components outside of cells as well as in cytoplasmic vacuoles after phagocytosis or endocytosis. Among the >10 TLRs present in mammals, TLR2, TLR3, TLR4, TLR7, and TLR9 are involved in the recognition of viral components. TLR2 and TLR4, present on the plasma membrane, are involved in the recognition of viral envelope proteins on the cell surface, while TLR2 and TLR4 are critical for the recognition of bacterial components, lipoproteins, and lipopolysaccharide, respectively. By contrast, TLR3, TLR7, and TLR9 are localized on cytoplasmic vesicles, such as endosomes and the ER, and recognize microbial nucleotides. TLR3 recognizes dsRNA, while TLR7 and TLR9 recognize ssRNA and DNA with CpG motifs, respectively. While TLR3 recognizes dsRNA in conventional DCs (cDCs) and possibly epithelial cells, TLR7 and TLR9 are highly expressed in plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs), a cell type known to produce extremely high levels of type I IFNs in response to viral infection. Crystal structure analyses of TLR3 clarified that the ectodomain of TLR3 containing the LRRs is dimerized in the presence of 40–50-bp dsRNA (62–64). The ectodomains of TLRs exhibit a horseshoe shape, and dsRNAs bind to the N- and C-terminal portions of the TLR3 ectodomain. Ligand association with the TLR ectodomains stabilizes dimer formation, thereby leading to dimerization of the TIR domains and the initiation of signal transduction.

TLR signaling

All TLRs except TLR3 activate a common signaling pathway leading to the production of proinflammatory cytokines via myeloid differentiation factor 88 (MyD88), a protein comprised of an N-terminal death domain (DD) and a C-terminal TIR domain. Upon ligand stimulation, MyD88 interacts with IL-1R-associated kinase-4 (IRAK-4). Mammals have four IRAK family members, called IRAK-1, IRAK-2, IRAK-M, and IRAK-4. The IRAks are characterized by an N-terminal DD and a C-terminal serine/threonine kinase domain. Recent studies revealed that IRAK-4 is an upstream kinase that phosphorylates IRAK-1 and IRAK-2 (65–67). IRAK-1 rapidly interacts with IRAK-4 and is phosphorylated after TLR activation, and then IRAK-1 undergoes degradation by the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway. By contrast, IRAK-2 interacts with IRAK-4 later
than IRAK-1 and stays phosphorylated for a long time. IRAK-2−/− macrophages failed to sustain cytokine gene expression in response to TLR stimulation, and cells lacking both IRAK-1 and IRAK-2 show abrogated TLR-mediated cytokine production as well as severe impairment in NF-κB activation (67). These results indicate that IRAK-1 and IRAK-2 are sequentially activated by IRAK-4 and are essential for the TLR signaling. IRAK-M is reported to be a negative regulator of the TLR signaling (68).

Downstream of IRAKs, TRAF6 is activated and catalyzes the formation of a K63-linked polyubiquitin chain on TRAF6 and on IKK-γ/NF-κB essential modulator (NEMO), together with an ubiquitination E2 enzyme complex consisting of UBC13 and UEV1A (69). TRAF6 also activates transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β)-activated kinase 1 (TAK1), which phosphorylates IKK-β and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) kinase 6, which modulates the activation of NF-κB and MAPKs that results in induction of genes involved in inflammatory responses. Deletion of TAK1 and UBC13 in mice revealed that these molecules play a critical role in TLR-mediated cytokine production, in addition to their role in embryonic development (70, 71). TAK1 is essential for both NF-κB and MAPKs, whereas UBC13 was dispensable for NF-κB activation.

In response to stimulation with dsRNA, TLR3 recruits another adapter protein, TIR domain-containing adapter inducing IFN-β (TRIF) (also known as TICAM-1) (72–74) (Fig. 2). TRIF associates with TRAF3 and TRAF6 through TRAF-binding motifs present in its N-terminal portion, and TRIF contains a C-terminal receptor-interacting protein (RIP) homotypic interaction motif (RHIM) and interacts with RIP1 and RIP3 via the RHIM (75, 76). Recent studies showed that TRADD is also involved in the TRIF-dependent signaling pathway (77, 78). The downstream signaling molecules for the expression of IFN-inducible genes are shared between the TLR3 and RLR signaling pathways. Simultaneously, TRAF6 and RIP1 are responsible for activating NF-κB through IKKa/β, leading to the expression of proinflammatory cytokines.

TLR7 and TLR9 activate distinct signaling pathways in response to viral RNA or DNA in pDCs. TLR7 and TLR9 recruit MyD88, which forms a complex with IRAK-1, IRAK-4, and IRF-7 in this cell type (79, 80). IRAK-1 and IKKa have been identified as potential IRF-7 kinases (81, 82). Phosphorylated IRF-7 translocates into the nucleus to activate the promoters of type I IFN and IFN-inducible genes. The MyD88-dependent pathway is also critical for NF-κB, leading to the production of cytokines including IL-12 and IL-6.

The localizations of TLR proteins are critical for the recognition of their ligands. An ER membrane protein, UNC93B, was identified as an essential molecule for the translocation of TLR7 and TLR9 from the ER to endosomes (83, 84). In cells from 3d mice harboring a missense point mutation in UNC93B, signaling by TLR3, TLR7, and TLR9 was abrogated. In addition, an autosomal recessive mutation in UNC93B in humans results in impaired immune responses against herpes simplex virus-1 (HSV-1) encephalitis (85). It will be interesting to further clarify the mechanisms underlying the UNC93B-mediated regulation of TLR trafficking. Another ER protein, protein associated with TLR4 (PRAT4A), also controls TLR9 trafficking from the ER to endosomes/lysosomes (86, 87). In lysosomes, a cysteine protease, cathepsin K, and cathepsin B/L, was found to be important for TLR9 signaling, although the mechanism is not yet fully understood (88, 89).

A previous report showed that autophagosome formation is required for TLR7-mediated VSV recognition in pDCs (90). It was hypothesized that viral RNAs were taken up into autophagosomes, which then fuse with lysosomes where TLR7 is localized. pDCs from mice with defective autophagosome formation show impaired type I IFN production in response to VSV infection.

Collectively, recognition of viral nucleotides by TLRs in endosomes/lysosomes is controlled by the localizations of TLRs as well as their ligands. This elaborate mechanism may be essential for preventing autoimmune diseases caused by aberrant initiation of TLR signaling. In this regard, understanding the entire mechanism of TLR trafficking will lead to the development of ways to manipulate the immune system.

Production of IL-1β in response to RNA virus infection

Among the proinflammatory cytokines, IL-1β production is regulated not only by its miRNA synthesis but also by cleavage of pro-IL-1β via caspase-1 (4, 5). Recent studies have revealed that the processing of pro-IL-1β is mediated by a large protein complex containing caspase-1, known as the inflammasome. The activation of caspase-1 is triggered by cytoplasmic NOD-LRR receptors, Nod domain-, leucine-rich repeat-, and PYD-containing protein 3 (NALP3) (also known as cryopyrin or CIAS1) and ICE-protease-activating factor (IPAF). These receptors together with an adapter, ASC (apoptosis-associated speck-like protein containing a CARD), are components of the inflammasome (Fig. 2). NALP3 is responsible for sensing presence of ATP via P2X7 receptor as well as various crystals, such as monosodium urate, silica, asbestos, and aluminum salts, by phagocytosis (91–94). Although mechanisms of crystal recog-
nition by NALP3 is not fully understood, recent studies suggest that NALP3 activation is triggered by reaction oxygen species produced by a nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate oxidase or by lysosomal destabilization which may release protease cathepsin B to the cytosol (93, 95). IPAF is known to be activated by infections with bacteria, such as Salmonella, Pseudomonas, and Legionella, possibly by recognizing flagellin in the infected cells (96–98). dsRNA and polyI:C were reported to activate the inflammasome via a NALP3-dependent pathway, but it remains unclear whether NALP3 directly recognizes dsRNA in the cytoplasm (99). NALP3 is also critical for IL-1β processing in response to adenovirus infection. Although adenoviruses are DNA viruses, introduction of dsDNA into cells was found to activate IL-1β in a NALP3-independent manner, suggesting that adenovirus-induced IL-1β production is not induced by recognition of genomic DNA (100). However, ASC and caspase-1 are essential for dsDNA-induced IL-1β production, suggesting that one of the unknown NALP family members functions as a cytoplasmic DNA sensor.

Type I IFN-producing cells in response to viral infection

pDCs are known to produce vast amounts of type I IFNs to virus infection, and the importance of pDCs as the IFN-inducer has been emphasized. Nevertheless, cells other than pDCs are potent to produce type I IFNs as described above. Although RLRs play essential roles in the production of type I IFNs and cytokines in various cell types, such as fibroblasts and cDCs, pDCs produce these cytokines in the absence of RLR signaling (14). To identify IFN-producing cells in vivo, a reporter mouse strain expressing green fluorescent protein (GFP) under the control of the IFN-α gene (Iflna6\textsuperscript{GFP/+}) has been generated (101). In response to systemic Newcastle disease virus (NDV) infection, pDCs were highly potent in expressing GFP, although cDCs and macrophages also produced IFN-α6. However, lung local infection of Iflna6\textsuperscript{GFP/+} mice with NDV resulted in increased numbers of GFP\textsuperscript{+} alveolar macrophages and cDCs but not pDCs. pDCs started to produce IFN-α when alveolar macrophages were depleted or IPS-1\textsuperscript{−/−} mice were infected. NDV is non-pathogenic to wildtype mice, and NDV is almost cleared by 96 h postinfection. By contrast, alveolar macrophage-depleted mice and IPS-1\textsuperscript{−/−} mice showed increased viral burden, suggesting that failure of the first line of defense led to production of type I IFNs from pDCs. In addition, lung infection with pathogenic Sendai virus also induced production of type I IFNs from pDCs. Thus, RLR-mediated IFN responses function as the first line of defense against respiratory infection, and pDCs started to be activated when the defense is broken.

Roles of RLRs and TLRs in the activation of adaptive immune responses to viruses

Innate immediate immune responses are important for mounting acquired immune responses to viral infections. However, it is not clear how the innate PRRs are involved in the activation of acquired immunity. Recently, three different virus infection models have been analyzed to examine the roles of RLRs and TLRs in the activation of acquired immune responses. The first model virus is lymphocytoid choriomeningitis virus (LCMV), an ambisense ssRNA virus belonging to the Arenaviridae family, which is known to induce a cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) response in a type I IFN-dependent manner (102). Analyses of MyD88\textsuperscript{−/−} and IPS-1\textsuperscript{−/−} mice revealed that the serum levels of type I IFNs and proinflammatory cytokines are mainly dependent on the presence of MyD88 but not IPS-1. Moreover, the generation of virus-specific CTLs is critically dependent on MyD88 but not IPS-1. Analysis of Iflna6\textsuperscript{−/−}GFP reporter mice revealed that pDCs are the major source of IFN-α in LCMV infection. These results suggest that recognition of LCMV by pDCs via TLRs is responsible for the production of type I IFNs in vivo. Furthermore, TLRs, but not RLRs, appear to be important for mounting CTL responses to LCMV infection.

Influenza virus has also been used to study the activation of adaptive immune responses. Induction of type I IFNs in response to intranasal influenza A virus infection was found to be abrogated in the absence of both MyD88 and IPS-1, although mice lacking either of these molecules were capable of producing IFNs (103). Induction of B cells or CD4\textsuperscript{+} T cells specific to viral proteins was dependent on the presence of MyD88 but not IPS-1, whereas induction of nuclear protein antigen-specific CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells was not impaired in the absence of either MyD88 or IPS-1. These results suggest that the adaptive immune responses to influenza A virus are governed by TLRs. Another study examined the contribution of IPS-1 and MyD88 to respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) infection in mice (104). RSV infection induced type I IFNs and inflammatory cytokines in an IPS-1-dependent and MyD88-independent manner. Nevertheless, both IPS-1 and MyD88 were important for the clearance of RSV as well as production of RSV-specific antibodies. However, mice lacking both IPS-1 and MyD88 were still capable of mounting CD8\textsuperscript{+} CTL responses to RSV infection, suggesting that RLR- and TLR-independent RNA
antigen-specific antibody responses as well as CD8+ T-cell signaling of MDA5 and TRIF, respectively. Enhancement of IPS-1 or TRIF, adapter molecules responsible for the signaling of MDA5 and TRIF, respectively. Enhancement of antigen-specific antibody responses as well as CD8+ T-cell expansion in response to polyIC stimulation is impaired in IPS-1 or TRIF doubly deficient mice (105). Although the responses of TRIF−/− mice are modestly impaired, IPS-1/TRIF doubly deficient mice are almost unresponsive to polyIC treatment, suggesting that both MDA5 and IPS-1 contribute to mounting acquired immune responses to polyIC stimulation.

The virus infection models tested to date support roles for TLRs rather than RLHs in instructing the adaptive immune system. However, further studies are required, as these two PRR systems contribute differently depending on the viruses involved, and their contributions may also depend on the route of infection. Although production of type I IFNs and proinflammatory cytokines depends on the presence of TLR- and RLR-dependent signaling, activation of CD8+ T-cell responses does not depend on either signaling pathway. It is intriguing to explore how CTL responses are activated in response to the virus infection.

Conclusions

The innate PRRs differentially recognize viral components in cell type-specific manners. As described in this review, RIG-I and MDA5 discriminate short and long dsRNAs, respectively. However, the molecular mechanisms for how RIG-I and MDA5 distinguish the lengths of dsRNA remain to be determined. Structural analyses of MDA5 will clarify the mechanism of MDA5-mediated recognition of long dsRNA. Another issue is the role of RIG-I helicase activity in the recognition of viral RNAs. Although several reports have shown that RIG-I protein can unwind short dsRNA, it is apparent that this 'helicase' activity is not required for the recognition of 5’-triphosphate ssRNA. Given that the RIG-I helicase domain catalyzes ATP, it is assumed that the helicase domain is critical for the conformational change required to expose the CARDs and trigger intracellular signaling.

In pDCs, TLR9 is essential for type I IFN production in response to DNA virus infection by recognizing viral genomic DNA. However, the presence of dsDNA detectors in the cytoplasm has been predicted (106). Indeed, DNA viruses, HSV and mouse cytomegalovirus, produce type I IFNs independently of the TLR system in non-pDCs. Recognition of intracellular bacteria such as Listeria and Legionella is potentially through their dsDNA (107). In addition, loss of exonuclease 1 (Trex1) resulted in accumulation of ssDNA derived from endogenous retroelements, leading to the development of autoimmune diseases such as Aicardi–Goutieres syndrome (AGS) via production of type I IFNs (108). Thus, accumulating evidence indicates that recognition of cytoplasmic DNA is critical for innate immune responses as well as prevention of autoimmune diseases. Although a protein named DAL/ZBP1 was proposed as a candidate for the dsDNA sensor (109), DAL/ZBP1−/− mouse cells do not show any defects in the induction of IFN-β and IFN-inducible genes (110). The identification of the dsDNA detector will open the door toward understanding the immune responses to DNA virus infection. It has been shown that STING is essential for type I IFN production to cytoplasmic dsDNA stimulation and infection with Listeria and HSV1 (61). Although STING itself is unlikely to be a DNA receptor, further analysis of the function of this protein or identification of STING binding partners might be a clue for solving the DNA recognition pathways.

Antiviral immune responses in vivo are mediated not only by DCs, macrophages, T cells, and B cells, but also by many other cell types, such as natural killer cells and natural killer T cells. Thus, it is important to understand dynamic interaction between the immune cells by monitoring immune cell behavior, interaction, and activation in vivo. The understanding of mechanisms for the activation of antiviral immunity will lead to development of novel immunotherapy and vaccines for infectious diseases, immune diseases, and cancer.
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